



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6698
Title of the Manuscript:	New iterative scheme for common solution of equilibrium problems, variational inequalities and fixed point of k-strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert space
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This paper studies the common set of solution of four known cases. The method of finding common set of solution of 4 cases by constructing a convergent sequence for one case with elements of solutions for other cases, is worked. However, is there any practical problem need to find such a common set of solution of the four cases? In order to illustrate the practical mining of the study, the author(s) should give an example for practical problem issued from sciences, physics, economics, etc. , of finding common set of solution of four cases, in which such intersection set of solution is nonempty (i.e., $\Omega \sqcup Fix(T) \cap VI(A, C) \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^m EP(F_k) \neq \emptyset, p.4);$ otherwise, the study seems lacking in practical applications. p.2, y in (5) and y in inverse 3 line should be stated. p. 3, line 7, $\forall z \in ?$, should be stated. p. 4, (8) and (10) are inequality ? please check the paper again.	We have included a general application of our main result as could be seen in Proposition 4.1. We have changed y to z in page 2 in (5) and Definition 1.4. We have included H in page 3 line 7. In page 4 (8) and (10) are actually equality since H is a real Hilbert space. We have checked the paper rigorously this time.
Optional/General comments		